	Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION
3	
4	
5	IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION MDL No. 2804 OPIATE LITIGATION Case No. 17-md-2804
6	
7	This document relates to: Judge Dan Aaron Polster
8	
	The County of Cuyahoga v. Purdue
9	Pharma, L.P., et al.
	Case No. 17-OP-45005
10	
11	City of Cleveland, Ohio vs. Purdue
ТТ	Pharma, L.P., et al. Case No. 18-OP-45132
12	Case NO. 16-0F-43132
12	The County of Summit, Ohio,
13	et al. v. Purdue Pharma, L.P.,
	et al.
14	Case No. 18-OP-45090
15	
16	
17	VOLUME I
18	Videotaped Deposition of Kyle J. Wright
19	Washington, D.C.
20	February 28, 2019
21	9:33 a.m.
22	
23	
24	Reported by: Bonnie L. Russo
25	Job No. 3244302

1	Page 94 briefing to ABDC and you attended?	1	Page 96 A. I most certainly did.
2	A. Ma'am, I I really would not know	2	Q. Okay. And you saw Mr. Mapes doing
3	who gave the first one. My recollection I	3	the same thing when he did the briefing?
4	know that I attend every one. I attended.	4	A. Yes.
5	Whether I presented or not or Mr. Mapes	5	Q. And then some time after the
6	presented, unless I could see it in writing, I	6	PowerPoint presentation, would you present to
7	don't know, especially in the very beginning.	7	the distributor data that you had analyzed?
8	Q. Understood. Okay.	8	A. Could you clarify data that I had
9	So you attended, along with	9	analyzed.
10	Mr. Mapes, most of the early ones; is that	10	Q. Sure.
11	fair?	11	The registrants own data, either
12	A. Yes, ma'am.	12	through ARCOS or some other source, that you
13	Q. And which one of you two actually	13	had analyzed for the purpose of the briefing.
14	provided the briefing, between the two of you,	14	Did you do that?
15	you don't recall.	15	A. Yes, ma'am.
16	A. Yes, ma'am.	16	Q. Okay. And can you describe the
17	MS. MAINIGI: Okay. I'm going to	17	process you went through to do that, at a high
18	put in front of you Wright Exhibit 12.	18	level, Mr. Wright?
19	(Deposition Exhibit 12 was marked	19	A. I was basically looking for, you
20	for identification.)	20	know, outliers, anomalies and I will put
21	BY MS. MAINIGI:	21	these two adjectives on this thing: very
22	Q. Now, Wright Exhibit 12, is that the	22	apparent, obviously out of the norm.
23	does that appear to you to be the	23	Q. And you looked for these outliers
24	presentation that was provided to Cardinal	24	and the anomalies in the registrants' ARCOS
25	Health?	25	data?
	Page 95	1	Page 97
1	A. It appears so.	1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. Now, if you take a look at	2 3	Q. Did you use any other resource to find these outliers and anomalies?
3 4	the cover memo, the there was a memo written by Mr. Mapes to Mr. Rannazzisi.	4	A. Not to my recollection.
5	Do you see that?	5	Q. And I think you said earlier that
6	A. On 12?	6	you continued to give these distributor
7	Q. Yes.	7	initiative briefings to registrants until you
8	A. Yes, ma'am.	8	switched over to the regulatory group?
9	Q. If you'd take a look at read to	9	A. Yes.
10	yourself the first paragraph, please.	10	Q. Did you continue giving briefings of
11	My question to you is going to be	11	this type to registrants after you switched
12	or is: Does it appear that you did not attend	12	over to the regulatory group?
13	this particular distributor briefing to	13	A. Repeat, please.
14	Cardinal Health?	14	Q. Sure.
15	A. It appears so.	15	After you moved over to the
16	Q. Who does it appear attended this	16	regulatory group in 2007 or 2008, did you
17	particular briefing to Cardinal Health?	17	continue to give distributor initiative
18	A. Michael Michael Mapes, Vickie	18	briefings to registrants?
19	Seeger from Office of Diversion, Mr. Trant from	19	A. Yes.
20	chief counsel, and then two people from	20	Q. And so you continued providing these
21	Steve Reardon and Robert Giacalone from	21	distributor initiative briefings until
22	Cardinal.	22	approximately what time period?
23	Q. Now, for each one of these	23	A. Approximately '10 or '11 or
24	briefings, you would would you go through	24	probably about '11, 2011.
25	the PowerPoint presentation?	25	Q. Now, the briefings that you

	Page 214		Page 216
1	Then once it goes through the form	1	A. All activity.
2	that they report, it then has to go an NDC	2	Q. And what do you mean by "all
3	number is what they report with no description.	3	activity"?
4	When it comes to me, it's the NDC and then the	4	A. Primarily what they acquired, what
5	full description.	5	they sold. But also, for manufacturers, there
6	It is the registrant's DEA number.	6	was a lot of things that occur in the
7	That's it. Mine is the cross-reference to the	7	manufacturing process. And those also had to
8	CSA, which gives me tells me that it's a	8	be reported.
9	pharmacy or or or whatever.	9	Q. Okay. What about distributors; what
10	Once it comes to me, then the data	10	did they have to report through ARCOS?
11	is available for our use.	11	MR. MIGLIORI: Object to form.
12	Q. And what was the purpose of your use	12	THE WITNESS: All activity.
13	of the data?	13	BY MR. O'CONNOR:
14	A. To support investigations and to	14	Q. And by "all activity," what do you
15	determine if I saw any outliers, anomalies that	15	mean?
16	I my group, my unit felt were egregious	16	A. Sales, purchases, losses, sales to
17	enough to warrant further investigation.	17	returns, sending it back to the manufacturer,
18	Q. And how would your group going about	18	recalls. Anything that happened with that
19	go about determining whether they're	19	product, a a controlled substance, is
20	egregious enough to warrant further	20	required to be reported under ARCOS, all
21	investigation?	21	activity.
22	MR. BENNETT: Object. The witness	22	BY MR. O'CONNOR:
23	is instructed that you may not talk about	23	Q. Okay. So with respect to opioids in
24	confidential law enforcement techniques that	24	particular, would the ARCOS would the ARCOS
25	you used.	25	data reflect how much bulk opioid product a
	Page 215		Page 217
1		1	
1	If you can answer in generalities,	1	manufacturer registrant purchased?
2	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question.	2	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase?
2 3	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high	2 3	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a
2 3 4	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level?	2 3 4	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way.
2 3 4 5	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes.	2 3 4 5	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS
2 3 4 5 6	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the	2 3 4 5 6	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product
2 3 4 5 6 7	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR.	2 3 4 5 6 7	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Did the employees you had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And would ARCOS data reflect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Did the employees you had working for you receive any kind of training on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And would ARCOS data reflect how many opioid tablets were sold by that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Did the employees you had working for you receive any kind of training on how to determine whether an order warranted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And would ARCOS data reflect how many opioid tablets were sold by that manufacturer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Did the employees you had working for you receive any kind of training on how to determine whether an order warranted further investigation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And would ARCOS data reflect how many opioid tablets were sold by that manufacturer? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Did the employees you had working for you receive any kind of training on how to determine whether an order warranted further investigation? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And would ARCOS data reflect how many opioid tablets were sold by that manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect who those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Did the employees you had working for you receive any kind of training on how to determine whether an order warranted further investigation? A. Yes. Q. In your view, were they qualified to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And would ARCOS data reflect how many opioid tablets were sold by that manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect who those manufacturer registrants sold the tablets to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Did the employees you had working for you receive any kind of training on how to determine whether an order warranted further investigation? A. Yes. Q. In your view, were they qualified to determine whether particular orders warranted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And would ARCOS data reflect how many opioid tablets were sold by that manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect who those manufacturer registrants sold the tablets to? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Did the employees you had working for you receive any kind of training on how to determine whether an order warranted further investigation? A. Yes. Q. In your view, were they qualified to determine whether particular orders warranted further investigation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And would ARCOS data reflect how many opioid tablets were sold by that manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect who those manufacturer registrants sold the tablets to? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect how many
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Did the employees you had working for you receive any kind of training on how to determine whether an order warranted further investigation? A. Yes. Q. In your view, were they qualified to determine whether particular orders warranted further investigation? MR. BENNETT: Objection to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And would ARCOS data reflect how many opioid tablets were sold by that manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect who those manufacturer registrants sold the tablets to? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect how many tablets a distributor or wholesaler purchased?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Did the employees you had working for you receive any kind of training on how to determine whether an order warranted further investigation? A. Yes. Q. In your view, were they qualified to determine whether particular orders warranted further investigation? MR. BENNETT: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And would ARCOS data reflect how many opioid tablets were sold by that manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect who those manufacturer registrants sold the tablets to? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect how many tablets a distributor or wholesaler purchased? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Did the employees you had working for you receive any kind of training on how to determine whether an order warranted further investigation? A. Yes. Q. In your view, were they qualified to determine whether particular orders warranted further investigation? MR. BENNETT: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. O'CONNOR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And would ARCOS data reflect how many opioid tablets were sold by that manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect who those manufacturer registrants sold the tablets to? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect how many tablets a distributor or wholesaler purchased? A. Yes. Q. And would that ARCOS data reflect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Did the employees you had working for you receive any kind of training on how to determine whether an order warranted further investigation? A. Yes. Q. In your view, were they qualified to determine whether particular orders warranted further investigation? MR. BENNETT: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Let me talk for a minute	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And would ARCOS data reflect how many opioid tablets were sold by that manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect who those manufacturer registrants sold the tablets to? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect how many tablets a distributor or wholesaler purchased? A. Yes. Q. And would that ARCOS data reflect which manufacturer registrant the distributor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Did the employees you had working for you receive any kind of training on how to determine whether an order warranted further investigation? A. Yes. Q. In your view, were they qualified to determine whether particular orders warranted further investigation? MR. BENNETT: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Let me talk for a minute about registrants reporting obligations with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And would ARCOS data reflect how many opioid tablets were sold by that manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect who those manufacturer registrants sold the tablets to? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect how many tablets a distributor or wholesaler purchased? A. Yes. Q. And would that ARCOS data reflect which manufacturer registrant the distributor or wholesaler purchased them from?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Did the employees you had working for you receive any kind of training on how to determine whether an order warranted further investigation? A. Yes. Q. In your view, were they qualified to determine whether particular orders warranted further investigation? MR. BENNETT: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Let me talk for a minute about registrants reporting obligations with respect to ARCOS.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And would ARCOS data reflect how many opioid tablets were sold by that manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect who those manufacturer registrants sold the tablets to? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect how many tablets a distributor or wholesaler purchased? A. Yes. Q. And would that ARCOS data reflect which manufacturer registrant the distributor or wholesaler purchased them from? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Did the employees you had working for you receive any kind of training on how to determine whether an order warranted further investigation? A. Yes. Q. In your view, were they qualified to determine whether particular orders warranted further investigation? MR. BENNETT: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Let me talk for a minute about registrants reporting obligations with respect to ARCOS. What were manufacturer registrants,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And would ARCOS data reflect how many opioid tablets were sold by that manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect who those manufacturer registrants sold the tablets to? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect how many tablets a distributor or wholesaler purchased? A. Yes. Q. And would that ARCOS data reflect which manufacturer registrant the distributor or wholesaler purchased them from? A. Yes. Q. In your understanding, is there any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	If you can answer in generalities, you can answer the question. I assume you're asking at a high level? MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. THE WITNESS: Applying the principles of Suspicious Order under the CFR. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Did the employees you had working for you receive any kind of training on how to determine whether an order warranted further investigation? A. Yes. Q. In your view, were they qualified to determine whether particular orders warranted further investigation? MR. BENNETT: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. O'CONNOR: Q. Okay. Let me talk for a minute about registrants reporting obligations with respect to ARCOS.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	manufacturer registrant purchased? A. Manufacturer bulk purchase? Q. Strike that. Let me ask it a different way. With respect to opioids, would ARCOS data reflect the volume of opioid product purchased by a manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And would ARCOS data reflect how many opioid tablets were sold by that manufacturer? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect who those manufacturer registrants sold the tablets to? A. Yes. Q. Would ARCOS data reflect how many tablets a distributor or wholesaler purchased? A. Yes. Q. And would that ARCOS data reflect which manufacturer registrant the distributor or wholesaler purchased them from? A. Yes.

1	Page 262 ground in a distribution center that are	Page 264 Veritext Legal Solutions 1100 Superior Ave
2	analyzing stuff as it as it comes into to	Suite 1820 Cleveland, Ohio 44114
3	the distribution center; fair?	3 Phone: 216-523-1313
4	A. Fair.	4 March 5, 2019
5	Q. Kind of people and pickers kind of	5
6	thing, right.	To: David Lee Tayman 6
7	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form.	Case Name: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v.
8	MR. STEPHENS: All right. I'll	7 Veritext Reference Number: 3244302
9	strike it.	8
10	I think the rest of these you've	Witness: Kyle J. Wright Deposition Date: 2/28/2019
11	answered, so I'm going to not ask.	10 Dear Sir/Madam:
12	So I have no further questions. I	Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness
13	apologize for taking a break right before we're	12
14	done. But now we are done.	review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the
15	THE WITNESS: Okay.	included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the	the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature notarized and
17	record at 5:59 p.m.	15
18	And This concludes today's testimony	forward the completed page(s) back to us at the Production address 16 shown
19	given by Kyle Wright.	17 above, or email to production-midwest@veritext.com.
20	The total number of media units used	18 If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of
21	were five and will be retained by Veritext	19
22	Legal Solutions.	this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived. 20
23	(Whereupon, the proceeding was	21 Sincerely,
24	concluded at 5:59 p.m.)	22 Production Department 23
	concluded at 3.37 p.m.)	24
25		25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA
23	Page 263	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265
1	Page 263 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265 DEPOSITION REVIEW
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265 Deposition review certification of witness Page 265
1	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS
1 2	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019
1 2 3	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil
1 2 3 4	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of
1 2 3 4 5	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony
1 2 3 4 5 6	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction; that said deposition is a true	Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Kyle J. Wright 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness;	Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Kyle J. Wright 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Kyle J. Wright 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further,	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Kyle J. Wright 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Kyle J. Wright 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Kyle J. Wright 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Kyle J. Wright 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested	Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Kyle J. Wright 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Kyle J. Wright 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Kyle J. Wright 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 thisday of, 20
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Kyle J. Wright 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this day of, 20 17 18 Notary Public
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Kyle J. Wright 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this day of, 20 17 18 Notary Public Commission Expiration Date
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Market Public in and tor the District of Columbia	Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Kyle J. Wright 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this day of, 20 17 18 Notary Public 19 Commission Expiration Date 20 21
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Kyle J. Wright 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this day of, 20 17 18 Notary Public 19 Commission Expiration Date 20 21 22
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Market Public in and tor the District of Columbia	Page 265 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3244302 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/28/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Kyle J. Wright 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Kyle J. Wright 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this day of, 20 17 18 Notary Public 19 Commission Expiration Date 20 21

67 (Pages 262 - 265)